WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

Date:	Inspector:	C DA	<u>-C15</u> T	NOW		
Time:	11-45 A Weather Conditions: 50	mny	74			
jaanaan aanininii		Yes	No		Notes	
CCR L	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.	84)		- Managaria	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
1:	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing CCR?		X	and a managing the state of the	American and the second and an artist and a second and a	Parameter and the second
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations?		X	e de la companya de l	manadusian kanadus entre nyaéta kanadus entre nyaéta kanadus entre nyaéta kanadus entre nyaéta kanadus entre n	
3.:	Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations.		X	and the state of t		dinisik katanu
CCR Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))				
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required.	X			godigen ett te ettette en tigge grand stagen kritiske kritiske kritiske kritiske kritiske kritiske kritiske kr I	રાતારાકામામકામોના ન
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	X				***************************************
:6 .	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		X.	Paralel And The House of the Control		MATERIAL STATES
7,	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on landfill access roads?	dentiferitarininini)-airasasa	X		ii maanii lineenaanaanii eeniaani	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below.		X	and the second of the second o	THE PART OF THE PA	100 mm - 1
9.,	Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below.	X		r je i je je napion bi lije i iz napo n		· · · · ·
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question		X	ometeksen (h. j. 127 - 127) en	tion and the second	
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	***************************************		

Additional No	ites:		-				
Annahaman an Annah	,		៳៱៳៳៳៳៳៳៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸៸		in the second se	terdeinganomonomika aiki sai	
			à				
Administration of the second second	<u>ڔ؞ڷڛٷؖٵڛ؊ٛٷڮؠ؞ڂٷڿٷڛڔٷۑ؈</u>		AND THE COLUMN THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	One of the control of	II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	1	9.44
20,000,000,000	the first of the second	 2 **Since of Constitutions 	and the service of the service of the service of	Charle Sammer and and the control of	~~~ ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

. .